

Advocating for Safe and Equitable Obstetric and Gynecologic Care for Immigrants

This Committee Statement was developed by the American College of Obstetricians & Gynecologists' Committee on Advancing Equity in Obstetric and Gynecologic Health Care in collaboration with Rose L. Molina, MD, MPH, and Yvonne Butler Tobah, MD.

Immigrants face challenges in navigating complex policies that govern access to health care, shelter, food, and clean water, resulting in profound effects on health care outcomes, including increased risk of preterm births and decreased access to preventive health services. These disparities are further exacerbated when immigration policies result in mass detention, incarceration, and deportation, leading to profound trauma among undocumented immigrants and their communities. Obstetrician–gynecologists and other reproductive health care professionals should be prepared to practice immigration-informed care and ensure clinical spaces are welcoming to immigrants. Unless mandated by law, health care professionals should document only information related to a patient's migration history that is necessary for the ongoing clinical care. Health care institutions should provide robust guidance and support for health care personnel and patients faced with the continued complexities of the dynamic landscape of immigration policies. Obstetrician–gynecologists should advocate for the unique needs of patients who are immigrants to promote reproductive justice and health equity.

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

Based on the evidence outlined in this Committee Statement, the American College of Obstetricians & Gynecologists (ACOG) makes the following recommendations and conclusions:

- **Clinicians should know that immigration status is a structural determinant of health that affects access to health care and trust in the health system.**
- **Quality health care should be available for all, regardless of immigration status and ability to pay.**

The American College of Obstetricians & Gynecologists (ACOG) reviews its publications regularly; however, its publications may not reflect the most recent evidence. A reaffirmation date is included in the online version of a document to indicate when it was last reviewed. The current status and any updates of this document can be found on ACOG Clinical at [acog.org/lot](https://www.acog.org/lot).

This information is designed as an educational resource to aid clinicians in providing obstetric and gynecologic care, and use of this information is voluntary. This information should not be considered as inclusive of all proper treatments or methods of care or as a statement of the standard of care. It is not intended to substitute for the independent professional judgment of the treating clinician. Variations in practice may be warranted when, in the reasonable judgment of the treating clinician, such course of action is indicated by the condition of the patient, limitations of available resources, or advances in knowledge or technology.

While ACOG makes every effort to present accurate and reliable information, this publication is provided "as is" without any warranty of accuracy, reliability, or otherwise, either express or implied. ACOG does not guarantee, warrant, or endorse the products or services of any firm, organization, or person. Neither ACOG nor its officers, directors, members, employees, or agents will be liable for any loss, damage, or claim with respect to any liabilities, including direct, special, indirect, or consequential damages, incurred in connection with this publication or reliance on the information presented.

This document should not be construed as legal advice on any subject matter, and it is not intended to replace legal counsel or advice regarding federal, state or local laws. You and anyone who reads this document should be aware of federal, state and local laws and follow them. The referral to a resource of a third-party does not imply ACOG's endorsement of the organization's website or the content of the resource, and may change without notice.

- Immigration policies and enforcement practices that lead to family separation and target pregnancy status should be opposed.
- People detained in immigration custody settings should be protected from abuse and coercion and should receive obstetric and gynecologic care that complies with accepted evidence-based clinical guidelines and protocols.
- The right to seek asylum in the United States should be upheld, including cases related to gender-based violence.
- The American College of Obstetricians & Gynecologists opposes policies that allow immigration-enforcement activities or require disclosure or documentation of immigration status within health care facilities.
- The American College of Obstetricians & Gynecologists encourages hospitals and other health care institutions to proactively develop policies on interactions with federal immigration and other law enforcement officials to guide clinicians and staff.
- Obstetrician–gynecologists are strongly encouraged to work with systems and health care leaders to implement practices to create and protect care settings that are welcoming to immigrants and culturally and linguistically inclusive for all patients.
- Obstetrician–gynecologists should promote and support research that monitors the effects of restrictive immigration policies on health care access, utilization, quality, patient experiences, and outcomes.
- The American College of Obstetricians & Gynecologists recommends that obstetrician–gynecologists take an active role in local, state, regional, and national advocacy efforts to improve health care access and quality, regardless of immigration status.

BACKGROUND

The frequently changing immigration policy landscape makes it difficult to keep statistics about immigration trends and information about eligibility for services updated and easily accessible. This bureaucratic chaos also generates mistrust and fear, because immigration status, which may change over time, confers specific limitations on access to health care and other social services (1). Table 1 summarizes key definitions of common immigration-related terms.

Although the exact number of people in the United States who are undocumented is unknown, the U.S. Department of Homeland Security has reported an

estimate of 11 million people who are undocumented (2); a simulation study estimated there may be as many as 22.1 million undocumented individuals in the United States (3). Xenophobic public narratives blame undocumented immigrants for using resources; however, many are essential workers in key industries, including agriculture, hospitality, and caregiving, in addition to being valued members of families and communities (4). Increasingly visible and virulent anti-immigrant rhetoric has detrimental effects on the health of individuals, families, and communities (5). In affected communities, studies have shown increases in preterm births and worsening rates of mood disorders, including anxiety and depression (6–9). Additionally, undocumented immigrants often experience language barriers, fear of seeking services, and anti-immigrant sentiments from clinicians and staff that hinder health care utilization (10). Obstetrician–gynecologists should advocate in solidarity with others against systems and policies that perpetuate racism and xenophobia to improve health outcomes for all (11) and advance public narratives that amplify the strength, resiliency, and value of immigrants in U.S. society.

A reproductive justice framework illuminates the effects of immigration policies on human rights, health, and well-being. Reproductive justice asserts that all people have a fundamental right to bodily autonomy, to have children or be childfree, and to parent the children they have in safe and sustainable communities (12, 13). Immigration policies that limit access to obstetric and gynecologic care for people in detention, target pregnant people for immigrant-enforcement actions, separate parents and children, and restrict access to asylum for survivors of gender-based violence are in direct conflict with reproductive justice (14). Centuries of discriminatory immigration laws and enforcement activities have sanctioned the dehumanization and cruel treatment of immigrants, with the majority being people of color (15). The American College of Obstetricians & Gynecologists opposes immigration policies that are detrimental to health, family well-being, and human dignity (16).

RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

Clinicians should know that immigration status is a structural determinant of health that affects access to health care and trust in the health system.

Structural determinants of health reflect the economic, social, and political systems, which are rooted in racism and social stratification, that shape the relationship between macro-level societal context and individual health (17). Immigration status is a crucial structural determinant of health (18). In addition to the physical and psychosocial risks associated with migration, many newcomers seek refuge from civil or political unrest,

Table 1. Definitions

Asylee	Someone who has requested and been granted asylum in the United States or at a port of entry who is unable or unwilling to return to his or her country of nationality or to seek the protection of that country because of persecution or a well-founded fear of persecution. Persecution or the fear thereof must be based on race, religion, nationality, membership in a particular social group, or political opinion.*
Asylum seeker	A person who has left their country and is seeking protection from persecution and serious human rights violations in another country, but who has not yet been legally recognized as a refugee and is waiting to receive a decision on their asylum claim. Seeking asylum is a human right. [†]
Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA)	Established in 2012, this program allows certain people who came to the United States as children and meet several key guidelines to request consideration of deferred action on deportation for a period of 2 years, subject to renewal. If approved, recipients are then eligible for work authorization if they can demonstrate economic necessity. [‡]
Lawfully present immigrant	A noncitizen who is lawfully residing in the United States, including the following: legal permanent residents (“green card” holders); refugees, asylees, and other humanitarian immigrants (eg, those granted temporary protected status); survivors of domestic violence, trafficking, and other serious crimes; individuals who are authorized to live in the United States temporarily or permanently (eg, those with work or student visas). They often are referred to as documented immigrants. [§]
Naturalized citizen	A lawful permanent resident who has been granted U.S. citizenship after meeting the requirements established by Congress in the Immigration and Nationality Act.
Refugee	A person outside their country of nationality who is unable or unwilling to return to that country because of persecution or a well-founded fear of persecution based on their race, religion, nationality, membership in a particular social group, or political opinion. [¶]
Temporary Protected Status	People from a select group of countries designated by the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security who have experienced humanitarian disasters, including ongoing armed conflict (such as civil war); an environmental disaster (such as earthquake or hurricane); or other extraordinary and temporary conditions. This is a temporary status that does not lead to lawful permanent resident status or give any other immigration status. [¶]
Undocumented immigrant	All immigrants who reside in the United States without legal status, including: those who entered without presenting themselves for inspection at an official checkpoint, individuals who entered the United States with legal status (eg, student visa) and then remained in the country after their “duration of status” date or after their visa expired, individuals who are or previously were Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) recipients, individuals who are pursuing legalization (eg, U.S. Citizen Spouse Petition, Asylum petition, U-visa pending) but currently have no legal status, individuals whose immigration status is in “limbo” (eg, Temporary Protected Status program is at risk due to policy shifts). [¶]
Visa	A U.S. visa allows the bearer to apply for entry to the United States in a certain classification, such as student (F), visitor (B), or temporary worker (H). A visa does not grant the bearer the right to enter the United States. The Department of State is responsible for visa adjudication at U.S. embassies and consulates outside of the United States. U.S. Customs and Border Protection immigration inspectors determine the admission, length of stay, and conditions of stay at a port of entry. [¶]

*Asylum, 8 USC §1158 (2025). Accessed November 12, 2025. <https://uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?req=granuleid:USC-prelim-title8-section1158&num=0&edition=prelim>.

[†]Amnesty International. Refugees, asylum seekers and migrants. Accessed December 9, 2025. <https://www.amnesty.org/en/what-we-do/refugees-asylum-seekers-and-migrants/>.

[‡]US Citizenship and Immigration Services. What is deferred action for childhood arrivals? Accessed December 9, 2025. https://www.uscis.gov/humanitarian/consideration-of-deferred-action-for-childhood-arrivals-daca/frequently-asked-questions#what_is_deferred_action.

[§]KFF. Immigrants. Which lawfully present immigrants qualify for Marketplace coverage? Accessed November 12, 2025. <https://www.kff.org/faqs/faqs-health-insurance-marketplace-and-the-aca/immigrants/who-is-a-lawfully-present-immigrant-for-health-insurance-purposes/>.

^{||}U.S. Citizenship and Immigrations Services. Citizenship and naturalization. Accessed November 12, 2025. <https://www.uscis.gov/citizenship/learn-about-citizenship/citizenship-and-naturalization>.

[¶]U.S. Citizenship and Immigrations Services. Glossary. Accessed November 12, 2025. <https://www.uscis.gov/tools/glossary>.

[#]Immigrants Rising. Defining undocumented. Accessed November 10, 2025. <https://immigrantsrising.org/resource/defining-undocumented/>.

poverty, and sexual violence (19). Furthermore, immigrants face challenges in navigating complex policies that govern access to health care, shelter, food, and clean water, resulting in profound effects on maternal and childbirth outcomes, including increased risk of preterm birth (8); decreased access to preventive health services, including immunization (20); and increased risk of maternal morbidity when additional restrictive abortion policies are implemented (21). These disparities are further exacerbated when immigration policies result in mass detention, incarceration, and deportation, leading to profound trauma among undocumented immigrants and their communities. The resulting effects on mental health are associated with increased odds of a lifetime mental health diagnosis among individuals who experience abuse during migrant detention (22). Punitive immigration policies create hostile environments that foster stigma and increase discrimination, stress, and fear of deportation, resulting in heightened anxiety and depression. Such adverse mental health outcomes may also exacerbate preexisting conditions such as high blood pressure, diabetes, and high body fat (23).

Quality health care should be available for all, regardless of immigration status and ability to pay.

Immigrants have lower rates of mammograms and cervical cancer screening when compared with nonimmigrants. These disparities are related to multiple factors, including lack of access to health insurance, language barriers, and fear of interacting with the health care system (24, 25). These same barriers limit immigrants' access to contraception and other reproductive health services (26). Although Title X clinics and federally qualified health centers historically have been an accessible source of health care for immigrant communities, they are increasingly under threat, further limiting peoples' access to care.

Undocumented immigrants face unique barriers in accessing gynecologic and obstetric care. Of the types of care that are available to undocumented immigrants, pregnancy care is the most common; though only some states provide prenatal and postpartum coverage (27), and even fewer provide coverage for abortion care (28). Variation in states' pregnancy care coverage leads to a patchwork safety net and inequitable access and outcomes (29). Even with high rates of employment, undocumented immigrants are less likely than U.S. citizens to have health insurance due to reduced access to employer-sponsored coverage and eligibility restrictions that prohibit or delay participation in government-sponsored programs and the Affordable Care Act (ACA) Marketplaces (30, 31).

The 2025 passage of the H.R. 1 federal budget bill created even more barriers for immigrants and people with low income to enroll in or continue Medicaid and ACA Marketplace coverage (32). For those eligible to apply for

insurance, many have difficulty enrolling due to language barriers, high cost, or confusion about the process; others choose not to enroll out of fear that utilizing benefits may jeopardize future immigration status or access to other public benefits. For example, the public charge rule—a test used by the U.S. government to assess whether immigrants applying for permanent residency or a visa are likely to require government or public assistance for support—has a chilling effect on immigrants' access to services, even though it applies only to a specific subset of immigrants (33). If an applicant is deemed likely to require support, that application can be denied.

Restrictive immigration policies lead to cascading effects for both undocumented and documented immigrants, reducing Medicaid participation among eligible immigrants and their families, including U.S.-born children. Many individuals who are undocumented may avoid preventive care completely due to concerns of being targeted, shifting their health care utilization from preventive care to higher-cost emergency services. There are byzantine rules regarding who can access public programs, including prolonged periods before documented immigrants can access them (31). Clinicians can advocate for Congress to modify health care insurance coverage restrictions for lawfully present immigrants, including Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals recipients, to participate in publicly funded health care programs and ACA Marketplaces. Moving toward a system of universal health coverage would increase access to care and improve health outcomes for all.

Immigration policies and enforcement practices that lead to family separation and target pregnancy status should be opposed.

The immigration-enforcement practice of separating children from their parents or caregivers can cause life-long trauma to both the child and the caregiver, including increased rates of behavioral problems and mood disorders (34); abnormal growth, lower educational attainment, and chronic conditions in children (35); and negative psychological effects in parents (36, 37). Similar detrimental effects, as well as the added stress of economic hardship, were found in family separation resulting from worksite or home raids occurring within the United States (38). Family separation during immigration enforcement compounds prior trauma and should be avoided. The American College of Obstetricians & Gynecologists supports policies that avoid arrest and detention to promote the health and well-being of families.

Pregnancy status has been used as a reason for differential immigration enforcement. Detention can endanger the health of pregnant individuals, and policies that single out pregnancy as the basis for immigration enforcement are in clear violation of reproductive justice. For instance, there have been reports of pregnant people

being referred to the U.S. Department of Justice for prosecution for illegal entry at greater rates than non-pregnant people (39). In 2018, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) ended its previous policy of “presumption of release,” in which a pregnant person generally would not be detained except in “extraordinary circumstances” (40). Policies targeting immigrants, including attempts to prevent pregnant people from immigrating and to revoke birthright citizenship, are part of a long history of stratified social hierarchies in which pregnancy, reproduction, and parenting for some individuals is differentially valued compared with others (14).

People detained in immigration custody settings should be protected from abuse and coercion and should receive obstetric and gynecologic care that complies with accepted evidence-based clinical guidelines and protocols.

Consistent with its long history of mass incarceration of marginalized people, starting with the legacy of slavery, the United States has the largest immigration-detention system in the world, with billions of dollars being spent on hundreds of detention facilities overseen by government agencies and private, for-profit companies (41, 42). Human Rights Watch has documented physical assault, dehumanizing language, withholding of food as a tool of coercion, and sexual abuse in U.S. detention centers (43). Women and individuals who identify as transgender are more likely to experience sexual assault in detention when compared with other detainees (44). There also are reports of abuse by health care professionals, including sterilization without clear medical indication or informed consent (45).

It has been well-documented that the care provided in detention centers falls short of national public health standards and professional care guidelines (41). Detainees, especially pregnant people, have poor access to medical care and may have worse health outcomes as a result (44). Substandard care is manifested in the lack of responsiveness to requests for care; lack of language access (qualified interpreters or multilingual staff); provision of care by unqualified medical staff; lack of adequate mental health care; delays in escalating medical care to outside facilities; and denial of medical care, including contraception and abortion (42, 44). The American College of Obstetricians & Gynecologists and many other professional organizations have denounced shackling during pregnancy care, including labor and birth (46). The American College of Obstetricians & Gynecologists supports proposed legislation to ban the shackling of pregnant people in ICE and U.S. Customs and Border Protection custody (47).

Detention facilities should provide trauma-informed mental health care specifically tailored to the needs of women, girls, and transgender individuals, with appropri-

ate language access. Many immigrants experience trauma associated with their migration from their country of origin, including sexual violence or rape and, occasionally, torture (19). People with a history of trauma are particularly negatively affected by detention and experience more severe symptoms of anxiety and depression after a period of detention (48); the lack of mental health services in detention compounds this trauma (44).

The American College of Obstetricians & Gynecologists supports the use of community-based alternatives to detention and, for individuals who are detained, affirms that reproductive health care should be provided in accordance with the same guidelines and recommendations as for those who are not detained, with attention to the increased risk of infectious diseases and mental health conditions commonly seen as a result of detention (46, 49). This care should include all recommended perinatal care and abortion services, if desired, as well as timely assessment of pregnancy-related or gynecologic symptoms in accordance with ACOG guidance (46).

The right to seek asylum in the United States should be upheld, including cases related to gender-based violence.

Seeking asylum is a fundamental human right. International and U.S. law allow for humanitarian protection for people who have been persecuted or fear persecution based on race, religion, nationality, political opinion, or membership in a particular social group (50). Although refugees apply for protection outside of the United States, asylum seekers apply within the United States or at a port of entry. In 2025, the federal government sought to end refugee resettlement and close the U.S.–Mexico border to asylum seekers by executive proclamation (51). The right to asylum should be upheld, including for survivors of gender-based violence such as forced marriage, female genital cutting, interpersonal violence, and persecution based on gender identity or sexual orientation (20, 52).

Clinicians can support immigrants by performing forensic medical evaluations of people seeking asylum; documenting evidence of torture and ill-treatment is well within the scope of obstetric and gynecologic care. Information about online training for forensic medical evaluations is available at <https://asylummedtraining.org/>. Obstetrician–gynecologists should work with their institutions to identify potential barriers and solutions to participating in forensic medical evaluations.

The American College of Obstetricians & Gynecologists opposes policies that allow immigration-enforcement activities or require disclosure or documentation of immigration status within health care facilities.

Institutions such as health care facilities, schools, and places of worship were previously considered “sensitive

locations” in which ICE agents refrained from immigration-enforcement activities. This policy was rescinded in 2025, allowing ICE agents to seek information and forcefully detain individuals in these settings (53). Allowing immigration-enforcement activities within health care settings produces multiple harms to patients: fear of seeking care leading to foregoing preventive care; anxiety and stress when seeking care; delaying care for new medical symptoms; and, ultimately, worse health outcomes (54). Such immigration-enforcement activities also may negatively affect the health care workforce and other patients by provoking anxiety and the moral distress that may arise when health care workers are prevented from treating patients or protecting them from harm as a result of seeking medical care (55, 56). Clinicians should refer to their institution’s policies regarding interactions with law enforcement and consult legal counsel rather than individually respond to immigration-enforcement activities in health care settings.

The American College of Obstetricians & Gynecologists opposes policies that require documentation of immigration status in the medical record. Clinicians should not seek or document information that may put a patient at legal risk, especially when the information is not clinically relevant to the care plan. Unless mandated by law, health care professionals should document only information related to a patient’s migration history that is necessary for the ongoing clinical care (57, 58). Clinicians who are required to document sensitive and private patient information that compromises patient safety are at risk of developing moral distress (59).

The American College of Obstetricians & Gynecologists encourages hospitals and other health care institutions to proactively develop policies on interactions with federal immigration and other law enforcement officials to guide clinicians and staff.

Despite the rescission of protection of health care spaces as “sensitive locations,” health care institutions must continue to comply with Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) regulations. Health system leaders should review and update policies on access to protected health information and create clear policies regarding interactions with immigration and other law enforcement officials (60). Such policies and training should prepare staff to navigate immigration-enforcement requests in coordination with a designated response team, often including the institutions’ administrators, public safety officers, and legal counsel. Institutions should develop clear designation of public and private areas, with signage and written policies restricting public access to private patient-care areas.

Obstetrician–gynecologists are strongly encouraged to work with systems and health care leaders to implement practices to create and protect care settings that are welcoming to immigrants and culturally and linguistically inclusive for all patients.

Obstetrician–gynecologists and other reproductive health care professionals should be prepared to practice immigration-informed care (61) and work with their institutions to create clinical spaces that are welcoming to immigrants (eg, multilingual signage). System-wide changes can include the identification of public and private spaces within health care settings and the development or revision of institutional policies on immigration enforcement, the confidentiality of patient information, and refraining from the documentation of patients’ immigration status (62). Clinicians should engage newcomers in their migration history as important parts of their social history, because these details may help with developing a differential diagnosis and identifying additional resources for health and well-being (63); however, information about immigration status should not be documented (59). Obstetrician–gynecologists should engage in team-based education about how to create welcoming and safe settings. Setting expectations about the U.S. health care system and addressing health literacy gaps (eg, explanations of visits, the role of pharmacies and other ancillary services, and how to manage a medical emergency) may be particularly helpful for immigrants who may have differing experiences and expectations of the health care system. Trauma-informed approaches (64), screening for mental health conditions, recognition of possible human trafficking (65), and empathy for immigration-related stressors are important for building patient–clinician trust. When patients share concerns related to their immigration status, clinicians should provide reputable medical–legal resources (such as family-preparedness planning for mixed-status families) or referrals to trusted community partners (Box 1). Health care professionals can practice cultural humility and responsiveness by incorporating family norms about medical decision making and affirming traditional forms of healing, as long as they are not known to be harmful to health. Mitigating clinician bias that may manifest in hierarchical decision making, poor communication, rushed visits, delays in care, or other forms of differential treatment of immigrant patients also is important to make immigrants feel welcome in health care settings (66). Obstetrician–gynecologists are encouraged to work with their system leaders to support enhanced language access for patients who communicate in languages other than English (67). See other ACOG resources for additional information on the importance of language-concordant clinicians, the role of

Box 1. Resources

Doctors for Immigrants (<https://doctorsforimmigrants.com/>)

“Welcoming and Protecting Immigrants in Healthcare Settings: A Toolkit Developed from a Multi-State Study” (<https://doctorsforimmigrants.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/WelcomingProtectingImmigrants-toolkit-3.pdf>) provides actions to implement change at the institutional, clinician, and patient levels, including training strategies and educational tips plus sample policies and scripts.

National Immigration Law Center (NILC) (<https://www.nilc.org/>)

The NILC provides information for health care professionals on how to prepare for and respond to enforcement actions by immigration officials and interactions with law enforcement, including “Health Care Providers and Immigration Enforcement: Know Your Rights, Know Your Patients’ Rights” (<https://www.nilc.org/resources/healthcare-provider-and-patients-rights-imm-enf/>).

American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) (<https://www.aclu.org/>)

The ACLU provides information (<https://www.aclu.org/documents/health-care-providers-guide-best-practices-protecting-your-rights-and-your-patients-rights>) for hospitals, medical centers, community health centers, other health care facilities, health care professionals, medical associations, and advocates on how to prepare for and respond to enforcement actions by immigration officials, interactions with law enforcement that could result in immigration consequences for their patients, and law enforcement presence that deters access to care.

Immigrant Legal Resource Center (ILRC) (<https://www.ilrc.org/>)

The ILRC offers a variety of resources, including Red Cards/Tarjetas Rojas (<https://www.ilrc.org/red-cards-tarjetas-rojas>) that provide examples of people’s rights and protections under the U.S. Constitution regardless of immigration status and a fillable and printable “Step-by-Step Family Preparedness Plan” (<https://www.ilrc.org/resources/step-step-family-preparedness-plan>).

Health Begins (<https://healthbegins.org/immigration-enforcement-in-healthcare-settings-how-to-prepare-and-respond/>)

This site provides resources and guidance to address how health care partners can prepare for potential U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement encounters on their premises and respond in the interim to concerns among patients and staff.

certified medical interpreters, and how to assess health literacy and understanding, especially when an interpreter is present (67–69).

Obstetrician–gynecologists should promote and support research that monitors the effects of restrictive immigration policies on health care access, utilization, quality, patient experiences, and outcomes.

Research evaluating the effects of restrictive immigration policies on reproductive health outcomes is essential, especially for policy makers seeking evidence-based solutions to health inequities. Barriers to research include limitations on funding priorities, access to datasets, and methods to safely identify immigrants who may avoid participating in research studies based on concerns related to their immigration status (70). Research also is needed to evaluate the effects of punitive immigration laws and policies on health care workers, including moral injury on clinicians and career burnout (71). Additionally, recent changes to the H-1B visa program hold the potential for limiting health care access by blocking health care professionals from other countries from working in the United States. Beyond the walls of academic medicine, obstetrician–gynecologists can collaborate with social science researchers, community members, grassroots organizations, medical–legal teams, and other collaborators to foster robust research on the effects of restrictive immigration policies on health care access.

The American College of Obstetricians & Gynecologists recommends that obstetrician–gynecologists take an active role in local, state, regional, and national advocacy efforts to improve health care access and quality, regardless of immigration status.

As experts in the harms that women and gender-diverse individuals experience as a result of exclusionary immigration and health policies, obstetrician–gynecologists are uniquely positioned to advocate for patients at the individual, institutional, state, and national levels. They can join in collective action with local, grassroots advocacy organizations, as well as professional societies. Collective action can include fundraising; signing petitions or letters; writing to legislators; writing op-eds for the public; and participating in press conferences, media interviews, and rallies. Advocacy training is helpful in building skills to communicate public narratives to different audiences.

CONCLUSION

The American College of Obstetricians & Gynecologists supports the health and well-being of all individuals seeking obstetric and gynecologic care, regardless of

immigration status. Access to timely and evidence-based health care should be provided for those held in immigration detention facilities; individuals who are pregnant should not be targeted for immigration enforcement. Health care institutions should provide robust guidance and support for health care personnel and patients faced with the continued complexities of the dynamic landscape of immigration policies. Obstetrician–gynecologists should advocate for the unique needs of patients who are immigrants to promote reproductive justice and health equity.

USE OF LANGUAGE

ACOG recognizes and supports the gender diversity of all patients who seek obstetric and gynecologic care. In original portions of this document, authors seek to use gender-inclusive language or gender-neutral language. When describing research findings, this document uses gender terminology reported by investigators. To review ACOG's policy on inclusive language, see <https://www.acog.org/clinical-information/policy-and-position-statements/statements-of-policy/2022/inclusive-language>.

REFERENCES

1. Allen CD. The cruel public health consequences of anti-immigrant rhetoric [published erratum appears in *Am J Public Health* 2023;113:e4]. *Am J Public Health* 2022;112:1726–8. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2022.307132
2. Baker B, Warren R. Estimates of the unauthorized immigrant population residing in the United States: January 2018–January 2022. Accessed November 10, 2025. https://ohss.dhs.gov/sites/default/files/2024-06/2024_0418_ohss_estimates-of-the-unauthorized-immigrant-population-residing-in-the-united-states-january-2018%25E2%2580%2593january-2022.pdf
3. Fazel-Zarandi MM, Feinstein JS, Kaplan EH. The number of undocumented immigrants in the United States: estimates based on demographic modeling with data from 1990 to 2016. *PLoS One* 2018;13:e0201193. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0201193
4. Zallman L, Wilson FA, Stimpson JP, Bearse A, Arsenault L, Dube B, et al. Unauthorized immigrants prolong the life of Medicare's trust fund. *J Gen Int Med* 2016;31:122–7. doi: 10.1007/s11606-015-3418-z
5. Caballero E, Gutierrez R, Schmitt E, Castenada J, Torres-Cacho N, Rodriguez RM. Impact of anti-immigrant rhetoric on Latinx families' perceptions of child safety and health care access. *J Emerg Med* 2022;62:264–74. doi: 10.1016/j.jemermed.2021.10.015
6. Gemmill A, Catalano R, Casey JA, Karasek D, Alcalá HE, Elser H, et al. Association of preterm births among US Latina women with the 2016 presidential election. *JAMA Netw Open* 2019;2:e197084. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.7084
7. Novak NL, Geronimus AT, Martinez-Cardoso AM. Change in birth outcomes among infants born to Latina mothers after a major immigration raid. *Int J Epidemiol* 2017;46:839–49. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyw346
8. Krieger N, Huynh M, Li W, Waterman PD, Van Wye G. Severe sociopolitical stressors and preterm births in New York City: 1 September 2015 to 31 August 2017. *J Epidemiol Commun Health* 2018;72:1147–52. doi: 10.1136/jech-2018-211077
9. Vargas ED, Sanchez GR, Juárez M. Fear by association: perceptions of anti-immigrant policy and health outcomes. *J Health Polit Policy Law* 2017;42:459–83. doi: 10.1215/03616878-3802940
10. Clifford N, Blanco N, Bang SH, Heitkemper E, Garcia AA. Barriers and facilitators to healthcare for people without documentation status: a systematic integrative literature review. *J Adv Nurs* 2023;79:4164–95. doi: 10.1111/jan.15845
11. American College of Obstetricians & Gynecologists. Obstetrics and gynecology: collective action addressing racism. Joint Statement. Accessed January 28, 2026. <https://www.acog.org/news/news-articles/2020/08/joint-statement-obstetrics-and-gynecology-collective-action-addressing-racism>
12. SisterSong Women of Color Reproductive Health Collective. Understanding reproductive justice. Accessed November 12, 2025. https://d3n8a8pro7vnmx.cloudfront.net/rrfp/pages/33/attachments/original/1456425809/Understanding_RJ_Sister-song.pdf
13. Ross L, Roberts L. *Radical reproductive justice: foundation, theory, practice, critique*. Feminist Press; 2017.
14. Pepe CS, Saadi A, Molina RL. Reproductive justice in the U.S. immigration detention system. *Obstet Gynecol* 2023;142:804–8. doi: 10.1097/AOG.0000000000005335
15. Kramer S, Passel JS. What the data says about immigrants in the U.S. Accessed November 12, 2025. <https://www.pewresearch.org/short-reads/2025/08/21/key-findings-about-us-immigrants/>
16. American College of Obstetricians & Gynecologists. Opposition to immigration practices that are detrimental to the well-being of all individuals. Statement of policy. Accessed November 7, 2025. <https://www.acog.org/clinical-information/policy-and-position-statements/statements-of-policy/2018/opposition-to-immigration-practices-that-are-detrimental-to-the-well-being-of-all-individuals>
17. Addressing social and structural determinants of health in the delivery of reproductive health care. ACOG Committee Statement No. 11. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. *Obstet Gynecol* 2024;144:e113–20. doi: 10.1097/AOG.0000000000005721
18. Casta eda H, Holmes SM, Madrigal DS, Young MD, Beyeler N, Quesada J. Immigration as a social determinant of health. *Annu Rev Public Health* 2015;36:375–92. doi: 10.1146/annurev-publ-health-032013-182419
19. Pottie K, Greenaway C, Feightner J, Welch V, Swinkels H, Rashid M, et al. Evidence-based clinical guidelines for immigrants and refugees. *CMAJ* 2011;183:E824–925. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.090313
20. Silberholz EA, Brodie N, Spector ND, Pattishall AE. Disparities in access to care in marginalized populations. *Curr Opin Pediatr* 2017;29:718–27. doi: 10.1097/MOP.0000000000000549
21. Adkins S, Talmor N, Dechen T, White MH, Singh A, Molina RL, et al. Association of restricted abortion access with maternal and infant health by maternal nativity: a difference-in-differences analysis. *BJOG* 2025;132:2077–85. doi: 10.1111/1471-0528.18164
22. Bakely L, Correa-Salazar C, Rangel G, mez MG, González-Fagoga JE, González AA, Parrado EA, et al. Exploring the association between detention conditions, detention-related abuse, and mental health among deported Mexican migrants. *J Health Care Poor Underserved* 2023;34:1021–36. doi: 10.1353/hpu.2023.a903060

23. Das S. Immigration policy is health policy: confronting the health costs of anti-immigrant rhetoric. Accessed November 10, 2025. <https://iaaphs.org/immigration-policy-is-health-policy-confronting-the-health-costs-of-anti-immigrant-rhetoric/>
24. Jang J, Sun KH, Mann K, Barrett E, Khilnani A, Harrod T, et al. Patient navigation increases breast, cervical, and colorectal cancer screening among immigrants in the U.S.: a systematic review. *J Gen Int Med* 2025;40:2358–68. doi: 10.1007/s11606-025-09566-8
25. Rosowicz A, Hewitt DB. Disparities in cancer screening among the foreign-born population in the United States: a narrative review. *Cancers (Basel)* 2025;17:576. doi: 10.3390/cancers17040576
26. Caballero TM, Bevilacqua K, Polk S. Latina immigrants' experiences accessing contraceptive services beyond the postpartum period and the role of community and pediatric settings. *Qual Health Res* 2025;10497323251336577. doi: 10.1177/10497323251336577
27. DiMeo A, Logendran R, Sommers BD, Beecroft A, Herencia YP, Bazan M, et al. Navigating the labyrinth of pregnancy-related coverage for undocumented immigrants: an assessment of current state and federal policies. *Am J Public Health* 2024; 114:1051–60. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2024.307750
28. Schaefer KM, Vullikanti M, Feldman R, Bazan M, Rodriguez MI, Bartz D, et al. State-funded insurance coverage of abortions for undocumented immigrants in the United States. *Contraception* 2025;150:110982. doi: 10.1016/j.contraception.2025.110982
29. Fox A, Howell FM, Weber E, Janevic T. Left behind: Medicaid immigrant exclusions and access to maternal health care across the reproductive-perinatal continuum. *Med Care Res Rev* 2023;80:582–95. doi: 10.1177/10775587231170066
30. Bustamante AV, Chen J, Félix Beltrán L, Ortega AN. Health policy challenges posed by shifting demographics and health trends among immigrants to the United States. *Health Aff (Millwood)* 2021;40:1028–37. doi: 10.1377/hlthaff.2021.00037
31. KFF. Key facts on health coverage of immigrants. Accessed November 12, 2025. <https://www.kff.org/racial-equity-and-health-policy/key-facts-on-health-coverage-of-immigrants/>
32. One Big Beautiful Bill Act, Pub L No. 119–21, 139 Stat 72, 2025. Accessed November 12, 2025. <https://www.congress.gov/bill/119th-congress/house-bill/1>
33. Bustamante AV, Félix-Beltrán L, Nwadiuko J, Ortega AN. Avoiding Medicaid enrollment after the reversal of the changes in the public charge rule among Latino and Asian immigrants. *Health Serv Res* 2022;57(suppl 2):195–203. doi: 10.1111/1475-6773.14020
34. KFF. Key health implications of separation of families at the border (as of June 27, 2018). Accessed November 12, 2025. <https://www.kff.org/racial-equity-and-health-policy/key-health-implications-of-separation-of-families-at-the-border/>
35. Bouza J, Camacho-Thompson DE, Carlo G, Franco X, Garcia C, Halgunseth LC, et al. The science is clear: separating families has long-term damaging psychological and health consequences for children, and communities. Statement of evidence. Accessed November 12, 2025. https://www.srcd.org/sites/default/files/resources/FINAL_The%20Science%20is%20Clear_0.pdf
36. Stringer H. Psychologists respond to a mental health crisis at the border. Accessed November 12, 2025. <https://www.apa.org/monitor/2018/09/crisis-border>
37. Lawson K. The lasting impact family separation can have on parents. Accessed November 12, 2025. <https://www.vice.com/en/article/the-lasting-impact-family-separation-can-have-on-parents/>
38. Chaudry A, Capps R, Pedroza JM, Castañeda RM, Santos R, Scott MM. Facing our future children in the aftermath of immigration enforcement. Accessed November 10, 2025. <https://www.urban.org/sites/default/files/publication/28331/412020-Facing-Our-Future.PDF>
39. Vasquez T. Meet the federal agency helping to criminalize pregnant migrants. Accessed November 12, 2025. <https://rewirenewsgroup.com/article/2019/05/14/meet-the-federal-agency-helping-to-criminalize-pregnant-migrants/>
40. Immigration Policy Tracking Project. ICE ends presumption of release for pregnant detainees. Accessed December 9, 2025. <https://immpolicytracking.org/policies/ice-ends-presumption-of-release-for-pregnant-detainees/#/tab-policy-documents>
41. Tejkl L, Tellez D, McLaughlin D, Savold J, Vasquez C, Abraham O, et al. Evaluation of the US detention standards to protect the health and dignity of migrants: a systematic review of national health standards. *BMJ Open* 2023;13:e069949. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2022-069949
42. Long C, Meng G. Systemic indifference. Dangerous and substandard medical care in US immigration detention. Accessed November 10, 2025. <https://www.hrw.org/report/2017/05/08/systemic-indifference/dangerous-substandard-medical-care-us-immigration-detention#>
43. Long C, Rachko TJ Jr. "They treat you like you are worthless." Internal DHS reports of abuses by US border officials. Accessed December 9, 2025. <https://www.hrw.org/report/2021/10/21/they-treat-you-you-are-worthless/internal-dhs-reports-abuses-us-border-officials>
44. Ellmann N. Immigration detention is dangerous for women's health and rights. Accessed November 10, 2025. <https://www.americanprogress.org/article/immigration-detention-dangerous-womens-health-rights/>
45. Treisman R. Whistleblower alleges "medical neglect," questionable hysterectomies of ICE detainees. Accessed December 9, 2025. <https://www.npr.org/2020/09/16/913398383/whistleblower-alleges-medical-neglect-questionable-hysterectomies-of-ice-detain>
46. Reproductive health care for incarcerated pregnant, postpartum, and nonpregnant individuals. ACOG Committee Opinion No. 830. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. *Obstet Gynecol* 2021;138:e24–34. doi: 10.1097/AOG.0000000000004429
47. Stop Shackling and Detaining Pregnant Women Act, HR 4664, 119th Cong, 2025. Accessed November 12, 2025. <https://www.congress.gov/bill/119th-congress/house-bill/4664/text>
48. von Werthern M, Robjant K, Chui Z, Schon R, Ottisova L, Mason C, et al. The impact of immigration detention on mental health: a systematic review. *BMC Psychiatry* 2018;18:382. doi: 10.1186/s12888-018-1945-y
49. National Commission on Correctional Health Care. Women's health care in correctional settings. Position statement. Accessed November 12, 2025. <https://www.ncchc.org/wp-content/uploads/Womens-Health-Care-in-Correctional-Settings-2020.pdf>
50. Asylum, 8 USC §1158, 2025. Accessed November 12, 2025. <https://uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?req=granuleid:USC-prelim-title8-section1158&num=0&edition=prelim>
51. The White House. FACT SHEET: President Donald J. Trump protects the states and the American people by closing the border to illegals via proclamation. Accessed November 12, 2025. <https://www.whitehouse.gov/fact-sheets/2025/01/fact-sheet-president-donald-j-trump-protects-the-states-and-the-american-people-by-closing-the-border-to-illegals-via-proclamation/>

52. Nguyen M, Handoko R, Asabor E, McKenzie KC. A gay Nigerian man's journey to asylum in the USA. *BMJ Case Rep* 2019;12:e227637. doi: 10.1136/bcr-227637
53. U.S. Department of Homeland Security. Statement from a DHS spokesperson on directives expanding law enforcement and ending the abuse of humanitarian parole. Accessed November 10, 2025. <https://www.dhs.gov/news/2025/01/21/statement-dhs-spokesperson-directives-expanding-law-enforcement-and-ending-abuse>
54. Young MT, Tafolla S, Saadi A, Sudhinaraset M, Chen L, Pourat N. Beyond "chilling effects": Latinx and Asian immigrants' experiences with enforcement and barriers to health care. *Med Care* 2023;61:306–13. doi: 10.1097/MLR.0000000000001839
55. Gangemi S, Dysart C. Moral injury in correctional health care. *J Correct Health Care* 2024;30:426–35. doi: 10.1089/jchc.24.04.0036
56. Lowe J, Griffiths S. Residue and resilience: understanding and addressing post-traumatic stress disorder, compassion fatigue, moral injury, and burnout in emergency medicine. *J Emerg Med* 2025;77:14–20. doi: 10.1016/j.jemermed.2025.07.017
57. Saadi A, Platt RE, Danaher F, Zhen-Duan J. Partnering with immigrant patients and families to move beyond cultural competence: a role for clinicians and health care organizations. *Acad Pediatr* 2024;24:6–15. doi: 10.1016/j.acap.2023.06.016
58. Self-managed abortion. ACOG Committee Statement No. 13. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. *Obstet Gynecol* 2024;144:e152–9. doi: 10.1097/AOG.0000000000005755
59. Kim G, Molina US, Saadi A. Should immigration status information be included in a patient's health record? *AMA J Ethics* 2019;21:E8–16. doi: 10.1001/amajethics.2019.8
60. ACLU Border Rights Texas, National Immigration Law Center, Physicians for Human Rights. Health care providers: preserve access to care and protect your patients from border patrol and ICE interference. A guide to best practices for protecting your rights and your patient's rights. Accessed November 12, 2025. https://www.nilc.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/08/NILC_ACLU_HealthcareProvidersGuide.pdf
61. Feldman RK, Sullivan MM, Molina RL. Immigration-informed pregnancy care: a call to action. *BJOG* 2025;132:1918–21. doi: 10.1111/1471-0528.18193
62. Doctors for Immigrants. Welcoming and protecting immigrants in healthcare settings: a toolkit developed from a multi-state study. Accessed December 9, 2025. <https://doctorsforimmigrants.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/WelcomingProtectingImmigrants-toolkit-3.pdf>
63. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. History and physical: refugee health domestic guidance. Accessed November 10, 2025. <https://www.cdc.gov/immigrant-refugee-health/hcp/domestic-guidance/history-and-physical.html>
64. Caring for patients who have experienced trauma. ACOG Committee Opinion No. 825. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. *Obstet Gynecol* 2021;137:e94–9. doi: 10.1097/AOG.0000000000004326
65. Human trafficking. ACOG Committee Opinion No. 787. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. *Obstet Gynecol* 2019;134:e90–5. doi: 10.1097/AOG.0000000000003427
66. Arcilla JT, Nanou A, Hamed S, Osman F. Racialized migrant women's discrimination in maternal care: a scoping review. *Int J Equity Health* 2025;24:16–8. doi: 10.1186/s12939-025-02384-8
67. Effective patient–physician communication. Committee Statement No. 23. American College of Obstetricians & Gynecologists. *Obstet Gynecol* 2025;146:e115-26. doi: 10.1097/AOG.0000000000006099
68. Health literacy to promote quality of care. Committee Opinion No. 676. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. *Obstet Gynecol* 2016;128:e183–6. doi: 10.1097/AOG.0000000000001714
69. Informed consent and shared decision making in obstetrics and gynecology. ACOG Committee Opinion No. 819. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. *Obstet Gynecol* 2021;137:e34–41. doi: 10.1097/AOG.0000000000004247
70. Ravi P, Smith M, Bogović S, Lin C, Yaffee A, Dudgeon M, et al. Characterizing identifiers for immigrant populations in electronic health records. *J Immigr Minor Health* 2025;27:1035–42. doi: 10.1007/s10903-025-01698-7
71. Van Natta M. Second-class care: how immigration law transforms clinical practice in the safety net. *J Health Soc Behav* 2025;66:109–23. doi: 10.1177/00221465241254390

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

All ACOG committee members and authors have submitted a conflict of interest disclosure statement related to this published product. Any potential conflicts have been considered and managed in accordance with ACOG's Conflict of Interest Disclosure Policy. The ACOG policies can be found on acog.org. For products jointly developed with other organizations, conflict of interest disclosures by representatives of the other organizations are addressed by those organizations. The American College of Obstetricians & Gynecologists has neither solicited nor accepted any commercial involvement in the development of the content of this published product.

Published online February 3, 2026

Copyright 2026 by the American College of Obstetricians & Gynecologists. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, posted on the internet, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission from the publisher.

American College of Obstetricians & Gynecologists
409 12th Street SW, Washington, DC 20024-2188

Advocating for safe and equitable obstetric and gynecologic care for immigrants. Committee Statement No. 25. American College of Obstetricians & Gynecologists. *Obstet Gynecol* 2026;147:598–607.